

SAĀFIQ.ĀĪ SERIES

UNCOVERING THE DECEPTION

OF AMJAD RAFÎQ (SP)



Allah The Most High says,

"And mix not truth with falsehood, nor conceal the truth while you know (the truth)".

Allah The Most High says,

"So after the truth, what else can there be, save error? How then are you turned away?"

Amjad - may Allah guide him - in his recent attacks on the Salafi scholar al-Allamah Muhammad Bin Hadi al Madkhali حفظه الله has made some statements which are totally false and deceptive.

The facts are as follows:

1) Shaykh Muhammad presented 'some' of his proofs against the Saāfiqah in his famous lecture entitled 'Muhammad bin Hadi breaks his silence'. This lecture is full of specific evidences against the Sa'afiqah regarding the issue of the Khariji Hani Buraik. To this very day there has been total silence (or defence) from the heads of the Saāfiqah such as Arafat, Ibn Ghalib, Johani and others regarding this Khariji.

2) Shaykh Muhammad has acknowledged in an SMS message that he has disparaged the Sa'afiqah based on the Sindh Shahbaz scandal. Al-Allamah Rabee حفظه الله demanded from the Sa'afiqah to repent openly and to apologize for the Sindh Shahbaz scandal, however they have failed to do so. Rather they continue to persist upon falsehood after the proofs have been established upon them. After guidance there is only deviation.

3) Shaykh Rabee حفظه الله - despite extreme deception of the Saāfiqah - considers the Hani Buraik and Sindh Shahbaz scandals to be valid proofs against the Sa'afiqah. The Saāfiqah lied to the Shaykh claiming that they have retracted. If the Shaykh however was aware of this deception, he would have openly refuted them for this major corruption.

4) None of the scholars of Ahlus Sunnah support the lying Saāfiqah in the issue of Hani Buraik nor in the Sindh Shahbaz scandal. Therefore the evidences with Shaykh Muhammad are valid and necessitate warning against the Saāfiqah.

5) A detailed Jarh; Hani Buraik, Sindh Shahbaz scandal, new innovated principles of Arafat as well as lying and deceiving cannot be overcome by the general speech of any scholar. No scholar has refuted the aforementioned detailed proofs against the evil Sa'afiqah.

6) Anyone who wants to discredit the proofs of Shaykh Mohammed has to do so by way of a detailed refutation of the aforementioned proofs. Shaykh Muhammad also endorsed the detailed proofs written by Abu Abdullah Al Madni, but the Saāfiqah have been unable to refute these detailed writings and are incapable of doing so.

7) It is incumbent upon every Salafi to follow these proofs that are as clear as the Sun as well as to abandon Taqleed (blind-following) of any scholar. Lately the Sa'fooq As'ad Za'tari (friend of Abdul Ilah Lahmami) called the people to boycott Al-Allamah Sulayman al-Ruhaili and Al-Allamah Ferkous until their position is clear with regards to "Muhammad bin Hadi Al-Hadaadi".

This is the real Haddadi Manhaj which the Sa'afiqah are upon and then they have the audacity to accuse others of their filthy Manhaj.

8) It is not from the Usool of Salafiyyah that a particular scholar has to agree with a certain Jarh (criticism) for it to be rendered valid. Rather, this is the Manhaj of the people of desires who claim that since scholar X has not disparaged a certain individual, then the criticism is not to be accepted. And when scholar X does eventually disparage this individual, they will say, "Scholar Y has praised him....". That is to say, one of the distinguishing characteristics of the Saāfiqah is the very same one as the Hizbiyoon which is to hide behind certain scholars. So, if Shaykh Rabee for example was to agree with Shaykh Muhammad, you will find the Sa'afiqah - who do not work with the Usool - running to hide behind Shaykh Ubayd claiming that Shaykh Ubayd does not approve of this Jarh and vice versa. And this is precisely the case of the Sa'afiqah, i.e. following the desires only.

9) Just to highlight the lying nature of SP; they stated that Shaykh Abdullah Bukhari and the rest of the Salafi Scholars are on the same page regarding the Khariji Hani Buraik. This is a blatant lie since one of them says that Hani Buraik is a Khariji and the other one says do not warn against him (last recorded speech).

10) The so-called Bayan of SP was issued after the famous lecture of Shaykh Mohammed in which he provided evidences against the Sa'afiqah. This Bayan shows that SP do not consider this lecture to be valid proof against the Sa'afiqah. So, if that is the case, it is upon SP to give a detailed refutation of this lecture as opposed to just making baseless attacks that are void of any truthfulness and knowledge.

Furthermore, what we do find is that SP have contradicted themselves by freeing themselves from Hani Buraik while at the same time continuing to defend the closest people to him (Hani Buraik). This is a clear implementation of the Ikhwani principle: 'We rectify the mistake, but do not disparage the individuals', (Sa'afiqah).

11) The SP Bayan was issued after the publication of the detailed 'Sindh Shahbaz scandal' refutation which SP would like to conveniently ignore since Abu Khadeejah is a close companion of the restaurant group. Abu Khadeejah also continues to shamelessly promote these liars who did not even spare Shaykh Ubayd while ascribing false Fataawa to him and slandered the Shaykh by implying he does not even know the basics of Tawheed. This is the Manhaj of the Sa'afiqah; if you are with us then you can do as you wish.

Al-Allamah Muhammad bin Hadi al-Madkhali حفظه الله said regarding the scales of Jarh wa Ta'deel with the Sa'afiqah:

"If he is with you, then he is the most truthful of the people even if [in reality] he is the biggest liar and the most corrupt of the people.

[On the other hand], if he is not with you, then he is the biggest liar even if [in reality] he is the most pious and the most truthful of the people".

12) Saying that Shaykh Muhammad has no evidences is a false testimony since the truthful Salafis worldwide have all testified against the Sa'afiqah. Amjad will prefer to ignore this as he knows that he will cause problems for himself if he were to defend the core issues of Hani Buraik, Sindh Shahbaz scandal, newly invented principles by their sheikh Arafat as well as the deception and lying of the Sa'afiqah.

13) Amjad said that Shaykh Rabee read everything word by word. Can Amjad elaborate on this? What did the Shaykh specifically read? What is certain is that Shaykh Rabee is not referring to the Khariji Hani Buraik and Sindh Shahbaz scandals.

14) The Sa'afiqah have so far not repented from any of the crimes they have committed such as the Hani Buraik issue, Sindh Shahbaz scandal, false testimonies against Salafi scholars, lying, deception and inventing new principles. So this sheer pride against the Haqq has inevitably accelerated their downfall. They are untruthful and we do not take knowledge from the untruthful ones as dictated by the Salafi Manhaj.

Imam Rabee bin Hadi al-Madkhali حفظه الله said:

Oh brothers, lies are worse than innovations. A liar is worse than an innovator in the view of Ahl-ul-Sunnah. One can narrate from an innovator. They (Ahl-ul-Sunnah) narrated from the Qadariyyah, Murji'ah and from other than them from the different types of people of innovation so long as his innovation was not one which takes a person out of the fold of Islam and so long as he was not a liar.

If a liar belongs to Ahl-ul-Sunnah, he is [considered] more vile than the people of innovation in the sight of Ahl-ul-Sunnah. And it is based upon this that Ibn Adi رحمه الله in his book "Al-Kaamil", included approximately 29 chapters about liars and one chapter about the people of innovation. Moreover, Ahl-ul-Sunnah accepted the narrations of the people of innovation who were truthful so long as they were not callers to their innovations.

Source: This was in response to a question posed to the Shaykh during the opening talk in Imam Bin Baz conference held in Ta'if in 22/06/1426.

15) The Sa'afiqah have to date fought against the major scholars of Dawah As-Salafiyya such as Shaykh Muhammad Bin Hadi, Shaykh Muhammad Bazmool, Shaykh Sulayman Al Ruhayli, Shaykh Ferkous, Shaykh Abdul Majeed Jumuah, Shaykh Azhar Sunaiqara, Shaykh Ahmad Bazmool and others.

16) SP are with the Sa'afiqah and are certainly not with Shaykh Rabee as they falsely claim. The evidences for this are very clear to every person of intellect. One of these proofs is when Shaykh Rabee ordered them to invite the Kuwaiti scholars. They shamelessly did not even acknowledge the advice, rather they decided to follow the instructions of the despicable Sa'afiqah. Another proof is when Shaykh Rabee refuted Shaykh Ubayd regarding his baseless warnings against Shaykh Ahmad Bazmool, Shaykh Adil Mansoor and the Kuwaitis. SP once again followed the instructions of the Sa'afiqah and ignored the advice of Imaam Rabee. So don't be fooled and deluded into thinking SP is with the Kibaar rather they are following the Sa'afiqah only. And is there anything greater than the Haqq?!

17 Ramadān 1439 | 02 June 2018